

# On $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra

Sherly Afriastuti, Sri Gemawati\*, Syamsudhuha

Department of Mathematics, Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru 28293, Indonesia

## ABSTRACT

BCH-algebra is a non-empty set with the binary operation  $*$  and the constant  $0$ , and satisfying the certain axioms. A mapping of  $d$  from  $X$  to itself is said to be a derivation in BCH-algebra if  $d$  is both  $(l, r)$ -derivation and  $(r, l)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra, where  $X$  is BCH-algebra. This article discusses the concepts of  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation,  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation, and  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra, and investigates the properties  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation,  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation and  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra.

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received Apr 16, 2021  
 Revised Apr 30, 2021  
 Accepted May 14, 2021

### Keywords:

Axiom  
 BCH-Algebra  
 $(f, g)$ -Derivation  
 $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -Derivation  
 $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -Derivation

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY](#) license.*



### \* Corresponding Author

E-mail address: [germawati.sri@gmail.com](mailto:germawati.sri@gmail.com)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Hu and Li [1] introduced an algebraic structure called BCH-algebra, which is a generalization of BCK-algebra and BCI-algebra. BCH-algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with the binary operation  $*$  and the constant  $0$ , which satisfies the axiom (BCH1)  $x * x = 0$ , (BCH2)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ , where  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$ , and (BCH3)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$  for any  $x, y \in X$  [2, 3].

The concepts of BCH-algebra have been discussed by researchers, for instance the concept of derivation [4-9]. The derivation in BCI-algebra was introduced by Jun and Xin [10]. In 2005 Zhan and Liu [11] introduced the notion of derivation in BCI-algebra to find the concept of  $f$ -derivation in BCI-algebra, where  $f$  is the endomorphism in BCI-algebra [12-21]. Al-shehri [22] applied the concept of the derivation of BCI-algebra to B-algebra in 2010, then the notion was introduced by Ardekani and Davvas [23] in order to obtain a new idea, called  $(f, g)$ -derivation in B-algebra, where  $f$  and  $g$  is an endomorphism of B-algebra. In 2015, Bawazeer and Bashammakh [24] introduced the notion of derivation in BCH-algebra and fixed sets. The notion of derivation defined the concept of derivation in BCH-algebra. A mapping  $d$  from  $X$  to itself is called to be a derivation in BCH-algebra if  $d$  is both an  $(l, r)$ -derivation and  $(r, l)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra, with  $X$  is BCH-algebra.

The objective of this paper is to define  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra and investigate some of the properties of  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, the definition and some properties of BCH-algebra are given.

### 2.1. Definition 1

BCH-algebra is an algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  that satisfies the following axioms [11], for all  $x, y \in X$ :

(BCH1)  $x * x = 0$

(BCH2)  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$  imply  $x = y$ , where  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x * y = 0$

(BCH3)  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$

In BCH-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$  the following properties apply, for all  $x, y \in X$ :

(BCH4)  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$

(BCH5)  $x * 0 = 0$  implies  $x = 0$

(BCH6)  $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$

(BCH7)  $x * 0 = x$

(BCH8)  $(x * y) * x = 0 * y$

(BCH9)  $x * y = 0$  implies  $0 * x = 0 * y$

(BCH10)  $x * (x * y) \leq y$

Example, let  $X = \{0,1,2\}$  be a set with Cayley table as follows,

Table 1. Cayley table for  $(X; *, 0)$ .

| * | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

From Table 1 it can be shown that  $(X; *, 0)$  satisfying all axioms BCH-algebra, so that  $(X; *, 0)$  is BCI-algebra.

For a BCH-algebra  $(X; *, 0)$ , we denote  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$ . A mapping  $f$  of a BCH-algebra  $X$  into itself is called an endomorphism of  $X$  if  $f(x * y) = f(x) * f(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ . Note that  $f(0) = 0$ .

## 2.2. Definition 2

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra and  $d$  is a mapping from  $X$  into itself [16]. The  $d$  mapping is to be  $(l, r)$ -derivation in  $X$ , if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d$  called  $(r, l)$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = (x * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Moreover, If  $d$  is both an  $(l, r)$ -derivation and  $(r, l)$ -derivation, then  $d$  is derivation in  $X$ .

## 2.3. Definition 3

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra and  $d$  is a mapping from  $X$  into itself [24]. The  $d$  mapping is called to be regular if  $d(0) = 0$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

This section consider the main results of research, which is to define  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-Algebra, where  $f$  and  $g$  are endomorphisms of BCH-Algebra [25-28]. Then, define  $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra and construct its properties which are expressed in proposition form.

### 3.1. Definition $(f, g)$ -Derivation in BCH-Algebra

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra and  $d$  is a mapping from  $X$  to itself, where  $f, g$  is an endomorphism of  $X$ . The  $d$  mapping is said to be  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (g(x) * d(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d$  called  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * g(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Moreover, if  $d$  is both an  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation and  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation, then  $d$  is  $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ .

Example, let  $X = \{0,1,2\}$  be a set defined in Table 2,

Tabel 2. Tabel Cayley untuk  $(X; *, 0)$ .

| * | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

It can be shown that  $(X; *, 0)$ , satisfies all BCH-algebra axioms such that  $(X; *, 0)$ . Define a map  $d, f, g: X \rightarrow X$  by:

$$d(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2 \end{cases}, \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } x = 2 \end{cases}, \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x = 0 \\ 1 & x = 1 \\ 2 & x = 2 \end{cases}$$

So it can be proved that  $f$  and  $g$  are endomorphisms of  $X$  and  $d$  is  $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ .

### 3.1.1. Proposition 1

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra,  $d$  is a self-map of  $X$ , where  $f, g$  is an endomorphism of  $X$ .

1. Let  $d$  be  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . If  $d$  regular then  $d(x) = d(x) \wedge g(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .
2. Let  $d$  be  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ .  $d$  regular if and only if  $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

Proof,

1. Let  $d$  is  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . Since  $d$  regular, then  $d(0) = 0$ , and by axiom (BCH7):

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ d(x) &= (d(x) * f(0)) \wedge (g(x) * d(0)) \\ d(x) &= (d(x) * 0) \wedge (g(x) * 0) \\ d(x) &= d(x) \wedge g(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that  $d(x) = d(x) \wedge g(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

2. Let  $d$  is  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . Since  $d$  regular, then  $d(0) = 0$ , and by axiom (BCH7):

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\ d(x) &= (f(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * g(0)) \\ d(x) &= (f(x) * 0) \wedge (d(x) * 0) \\ d(x) &= f(x) \wedge d(x) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that  $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Conversely, let  $d$  is  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . If  $d(x) = f(x) \wedge d(x)$ , then for  $x = 0$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(0) &= (f(0) \wedge d(0)) \\ d(0) &= (0 \wedge d(0)) \\ d(0) &= d(0) * (d(0) * 0) \\ d(0) &= d(0) * d(0) \\ d(0) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that  $d$  is regular.

### 3.1.2. Proposition 2

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra.

1. If  $d$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ , then  $d(x * y) \leq d(x) * f(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .
2. If  $d$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ , then  $d(x * y) \leq f(x) * d(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Proof,

1. Since  $d$  is a  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$  and by axiom (BCH10) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x * y) &= (d(x) * f(y)) \wedge (g(x) * d(y)) \\
d(x * y) &= (g(x) * d(y)) * (g(x) * d(y)) * (d(x) * f(y)) \\
d(x * y) &\leq d(x) * f(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that  $d(x * y) \leq d(x) * f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

2. Since  $d$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$  and by axiom (BCH10) we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x * y) &= (f(x) * d(y)) \wedge (d(x) * g(y)) \\
d(x * y) &= (d(x) * g(y)) * ((d(x) * g(y)) * (f(x) * d(y))) \\
d(x * y) &\leq f(x) * d(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, this shows that  $d(x * y) \leq f(x) * d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### 3.1.3. Proposition 3

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra.

1. If  $d$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$  and  $d$  regular, then  $d(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

Proof,

1. Let  $d$  is a  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . Since  $d$  regular, then  $d(0) = 0$ . By axiom (BCH7) and (BCH10), for all  $x \in X$  we obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x) &= d(x * 0) \\
d(x) &= (f(x) * d(0)) \wedge (d(x) * g(0)) \\
d(x) &= f(x) \wedge d(x) \\
d(x) &= d(x) * (d(x) * f(x)) \\
d(x) &\leq f(x)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d_f(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

### 3.1.4. Proposition 4

Let  $(X; *, 0)$  be a BCH-algebra,  $d$  is a mapping from  $X$  to itself.

1. Let  $d$  be  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . If  $f(x) * d(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $d$  regular.

2. Let  $d$  be  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . If  $d(x) * f(x) = 0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $d$  regular.

Proof,

1. Let  $d$  is  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . By axiom (BCH1) and (BCH7), for all  $x \in X$  obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(0) &= d(x * x) \\
d(0) &= (f(x) * d(x)) \wedge (d(x) * g(x)) \\
d(0) &= 0 \wedge (d(x) * g(x)) \\
d(0) &= (d(x) * g(x)) * ((d(x) * g(x)) * 0) \\
d(0) &= (d(x) * g(x)) * (d(x) * g(x)) \\
d(0) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d(0) = 0$  then it is proved that  $d$  regular.

2. Let  $d$  is  $(l, r)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in  $X$ . By axiom (BCH1) and (BCH7), for all  $x \in X$  obtained:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(0) &= d(x * x) \\
d(0) &= (d(x) * f(x)) \wedge (g(x) * d(x)) \\
d(0) &= 0 \wedge (g(x) * d(x)) \\
d(0) &= (g(x) * d(x)) * ((g(x) * d(x)) * 0) \\
d(0) &= (g(x) * d(x)) * (g(x) * d(x)) \\
d(0) &= 0
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $d(0) = 0$  then it is proved that  $d$  regular.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This article discussed the definition of  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra and investigate its properties. In general, the properties of  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$ - $(f, g)$ -derivation in BCH-algebra obtained for  $d$  which satisfies the regular properties that is when  $d(0) = 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hu, Q. P. (1983). On BCH-algebras. *Mathematics Seminar Notes*, **11**, 313–320.
- [2] Chaudhry, M. A. & Fakhar-Ud-Din, H. (2001). On some classes of BCH-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **25**(3), 205–211.
- [3] Hu, Q. P. (1985). On proper BCH-algebras. *Math. Japonica*, **30**, 659–661.
- [4] Kim, K. H. & Lee, Y. H. (2017). On left derivations of BCH-algebras. *The Korean Journal of Mathematics*, **25**(2), 163–179.
- [5] Aprijon, A. (2021). Annual premium of life in insurance with uniform assumptions. *Science, Technology & Communication Journal*, **1**(2), 67–73.
- [6] Lee, J. G., Hur, K., & Jun, Y. B. (2020). Multi-folded N-structures with finite degree and its application in BCH-algebras. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Systems*.
- [7] Rahmawati, R., Rahma, A. N., & Septia, W. (2021). Prediction of rupiah exchange rate against Australian Dollar using the Chen fuzzy time series method. *Science, Technology & Communication Journal*, **1**(2), 74–81.
- [8] Chandramouleeswaran, M., Muralikrishna, P., Sujatha, K., & Sabarinathan, S. (2017). A note on Z-algebra. *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **38**, 707–714.
- [9] El-Gendy, O. R. (2019). On BOI-Algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Applications Research (IJMCAR)*, **9**(2), 13–28.
- [10] Jun, Y. B. & Xin, X. L. (2004). On derivations of BCI-algebras. *Information Sciences*, **159**(3-4), 167–176.
- [11] Zhan, J. & Liu, Y. L. (2005). On f-derivations of BCI-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2005**(11), 1675–1684.
- [12] Abujabal, H. A. & Al-Shehri, N. O. (2007). On left derivations of BCI-algebras. *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, **33**(3), 435.
- [13] Muhiuddin, G. & Al-Roqi, A. M. (2012). On-derivations in BCI-algebras. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2012.
- [14] Chen, L. (2011). Endomorphisms and order relations of sub-BCI-algebras. *2011 Fourth International Conference on Information and Computing*, 233–236.
- [15] Nisar, F. (2009). Characterization of f-derivations of a BCI-algebra. *East Asian mathematical journal*, **25**(1), 69–87.
- [16] Muhiuddin, G. (2016). Regularity of generalized derivations in BCI-algebras. *Communications of the Korean Mathematical Society*, **31**(2), 229–235.
- [17] Iampan, A. (2016). Derivations of UP-algebras by means of UP-endomorphisms. *Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*, **3**(2), 1–20.
- [18] Jana, C., Senapati, T., & Pal, M. (2017). t-derivations on complicated subtraction algebras. *Journal of Discrete Mathematical Sciences and Cryptography*, **20**(8), 1583–1595.
- [19] Corina Ciungu, L. (2019). Pseudo-BCI algebras with derivations. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, **29**(8), 1367–1389.
- [20] Muhiuddin, G. (2019). On  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -Derivations of BCI-Algebras. *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **43**(5).
- [21] Al-Omary, R. M. (2019). On  $(\alpha, \beta)$ - $(\alpha, \beta)$ -derivations in d-algebras. *Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*, **12**, 549–556.
- [22] Alshehri, N. (2010). Derivations of B-algebras. *Science*, **22**(1).
- [23] Ardekani, L. K. & Davvaz, B. (2014). On  $(f, g)$ -derivations of B-algebras. *Mat. Vesn*, **66**, 125–132.
- [24] Bawazeer, S. M. & Bashammakh, S. A. (2015). Derivation of BCH-algebras and its fixed set. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, **96**(8), 1029.
- [25] Dar, K. H. & Akram, M. (2006). On endomorphisms of BCH-algebras. *Annals of the University of Craiova-Mathematics and Computer Science Series*, **33**, 227–234.

- [26] Saeed, H. M. A. (2011). On closed BCH-algebra with respect to an element of a BCH-algebra. *Journal of Kufa for Mathematics and Computer*, **1**(4), 5–13.
- [27] Mancao, J. & Canoy, S. (2020). Another look at topological BCH-algebras. *European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **13**(4), 730–738.
- [28] Thiruvani, V. & Jansi, M. (2018). Ideals and separation axioms in LSTBCH-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology*, **60**(2), 86–89.