

## $t$ -derivations in BP-algebras

T. Fuja Siswanti, Sri Gemawati\*, Syamsudhuha

Department of Mathematics, Universitas Riau, Pekanbaru 28293, Indonesia

### ABSTRACT

BP-algebras is a non-empty set  $(X, *, o)$  with the binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfies the axioms (BP1)  $x * x = o$ , (BP2)  $x * (x * y) = y$ , (BP3)  $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . In this paper, we define the concept of  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$   $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra and investigate their properties. Based on the concepts of  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$   $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra, the properties of  $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra are constructed.

### ARTICLE INFO

#### Article history:

Received Apr 30, 2021

Revised May 13, 2021

Accepted May 20, 2021

#### Keywords:

Axiom

BP-Algebra

$(l, r)$   $t$ -Derivation

$(r, l)$   $t$ -Derivation

$t$ -Derivation

*This is an open access article under the [CC BY](#) license.*



\* Corresponding Author

E-mail address: [germawati.sri@gmail.com](mailto:germawati.sri@gmail.com)

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Algebraic structure is a fundamental topic, many researchers develop various types of algebraic structures are B-algebra, 0-commutative B-algebra, and BP-algebra. Neggers and Kim [1] introduced the concept of B-algebra, which is a non-empty set  $X$  with a binary operation “ $*$ ” and a constant 0 denoted by  $(X; *, 0)$ , and satisfies the axioms (B1)  $x * x = 0$ , (B2)  $x * 0 = x$ , and (B3)  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then, Kim and Park [2] discusses a special form of B-algebra called 0-commutative B-algebra, which is a non-empty set  $X$  with a binary operations  $*$  and a constant 0 that satisfies  $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Some important concepts in abstract algebra have been discussed, including the concept of derivation, which was first introduced in ring studies [3-9]. The concept of derivation is also discussed in other algebraic structures [10, 11], such as B-algebra. Besides in B-algebra, the concept of derivation is also found in BP-algebra and its properties which are discussed by Kandaraj and Devi [12, 13]. In this discussion, the properties of derivation and  $f$ -derivation in BP-algebra [10, 11, 14-17] are given which have differences with the properties of derivations in B-algebra [18-22]. In 2014, another concept of derivation was discussed, namely  $t$ -derivation in B-algebra [23], defining the concept of  $t$ -derivation in B-algebra resulting in a new type of derivation that is different from the concept of ordinary derivation [10, 11, 24]. The concept of  $t$ -derivation in B-algebra is obtained by defining  $(l, r)t$ -derivation,  $(r, l)t$ -derivation and  $t$ -regular in B-algebra. Besides that, the properties of the derivations in B-algebra are also obtained, which are expressed in the form of theorems.

Based on the description above, using the same way of constructing the concept of  $t$ -derivation in B-algebra by Rasael et al. [23], namely by involving two mappings that can be constructed on the concept of  $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra and also determine the properties associated with the concept.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

In this section, the definitions of BP-algebra, B-algebra and 0-commutative B-algebra are defined, along with their properties.

### 2.1. Definition B-Algebra

B-algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with the binary operation “ $*$ ” and a constant  $0$  which satisfies the following axioms [25]:

- (B1)  $x * x = 0$
- (B2)  $x * 0 = x$
- (B3)  $(x * y) * x = x * (z * (0 * y))$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

#### 2.1.1. Proposition

If  $(X, *, 0)$  is B-algebra, then:

- i.  $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$ ,
- ii. If  $x * y = z * y$  then  $x = z$ ,
- iii.  $x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y$ ,
- iv. If  $x * y = 0$  then  $x = y$ ,
- v.  $x = 0 * (0 * x)$ ,
- vi. If  $x * y = x * z$  then  $y = z$ ,
- vii.  $0 * (x * y) = y * x$ ,
- viii.  $(x * y) * (z * y) = x * z$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . The proof of this Proposition has been prove in [25].

### 2.2. Definition B-Algebra 0-Commutative

B-algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to be 0-commutative if  $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

#### 2.2.1. Proposition

If  $(X, *, 0)$  is 0-commutative B-algebra, then:

- i.  $(x * z) * (y * w) = (w * z) * (y * x)$ ,
- ii.  $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$ ,
- iii.  $(z * y) * (z * x) = x * y$ ,
- iv.  $(x * z) * y = (0 * z) * (y * x)$ ,
- v.  $x * (y * z) = z * (y * x)$ ,
- vi.  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$ ,
- vii.  $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (x * y) = 0$ ,
- viii.  $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$ ,
- ix.  $x * (x * y) = y$ ,
- x. If  $x * y = x * z$ , then  $y = z$ ,

for all  $w, x, y, z \in X$ . The proof of this Proposition has been given in [25].

### 2.3. Definition BP-Algebra

BP-algebra is a non-empty set  $X$  with the binary operation “ $*$ ” and a constant  $0$  which satisfies the following axiom [25]:

- (BP1)  $x * x = 0$ ,  
 (BP2)  $x * (x * y) = y$ ,  
 (BP3)  $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Example, suppose  $X := \{0, a, b, c\}$  is a set with the Cayley table as follows:

Table 1. Cayley's table for  $(X, *, 0)$ .

*	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0

From Table 1, it can be proved that  $(X, *, 0)$  satisfies all axioms in BP-algebra. So that  $(X, *, 0)$  is BP-algebra.

### 2.3.1. Theorem

Suppose  $(X, *, 0)$  is BP-algebra, then:

- i.  $(0 * x) * x = x$ ,
- ii.  $0 * (y * x) = x * y$ ,
- iii.  $x * 0 = x$ ,
- iv. If  $x * y = 0$  then  $y * x = 0$ ,
- v. If  $0 * x = 0 * y$  then  $x = y$ ,
- vi. If  $0 * x = y$  then  $0 * y = x$ ,
- vii. If  $0 * x = x$  then  $x * y = y * x$ ,

for all  $x, y \in X$ . The proof of this Theorem has been given in [25].

## 2.4. Definition 0-Commutative BP-Algebra

BP-algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is said to be 0-commutative if  $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### 2.4.1. Proposition

If  $(X, *, 0)$  is 0-commutative BP-algebra, then:

- i.  $(x * z) * (y * z) = (x * y) * (z * x)$ ,
- ii.  $x * y = (0 * y) * (0 * x)$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . The proof of this Proposition has been given in [25].

Example, given  $G = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  is a set with the Cayley table as follows:

Table 2. Cayley's table for  $(X, *, 0)$ .

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

Based on Table 2, it can be proved that  $(G, *, 0)$  satisfies all the axioms in BP-algebra. So that,  $(G, *, 0)$  is BP-algebra and it can be verified that  $(G, *, 0)$  is 0-commutative BP-algebra.

### 2.4.2. Theorem

If  $(X, *, 0)$  is a 0-commutative B-algebra, then  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra. Proof of this Theorem has been given in [2]. Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a B-algebra, defined  $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

### 2.5. Definition Derivation in B-Algebra

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a B-algebra and  $d$  is a self-map of  $X$ .  $d$  is said to be  $(l, r)$ -derivation in  $X$ , if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = (d(x) * y) \wedge (x * d(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $d$  is said to be  $(r, l)$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d(x * y) = ((x * d(y)) \wedge d(x) * y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $d$  is said to be derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$ -derivation in  $X$ .

### 2.6. Definition Regular in B-algebra

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a B-algebra and  $d$  is a self-map of  $X$ .  $d$  is called regular if it satisfies  $d(0) = 0$ .

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1. Definition 1

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra, for any  $t \in X$  we define a  $d_t$  mapping of  $X$  itself with  $d_t(x) = x * t$  for each  $x \in X$ .

### 3.2. Definition 2

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a BP-algebra and  $d_t$  is a self map of  $X$ .  $d_t$  is said to be  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d_t(x * y) = (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y))$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d_t$  is called  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$  if it satisfies  $d_t(x * y) = ((x * d_t(y)) \wedge d_t(x) * y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $d_t$  is said to be  $t$ -derivation if it satisfies  $(l, r)t$ -derivation and  $(r, l)t$ -derivation.

Example, let  $G := \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  is a BP-algebra with the following Cayley table in Table 3.

Table 3. Cayley's table for  $(G, *, 0)$ .

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	1	2	3
1	1	0	3	2
2	2	3	0	1
3	3	2	1	0

It can be verified that  $(G, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra. Then, based on the definition of 3.1 obtained

$$\begin{aligned}
 t = 0, d_t(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = 1 \\ 0, & x = 0 \\ 3, & x = 3 \\ 2, & x = 2 \end{cases} & t = 1, d_t(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = 0 \\ 0, & x = 1 \\ 3, & x = 2 \\ 2, & x = 3 \end{cases} \\
 t = 2, d_t(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = 3 \\ 0, & x = 2 \\ 3, & x = 1 \\ 2, & x = 2 \end{cases} & t = 3, d_t(x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & x = 2 \\ 0, & x = 3 \\ 3, & x = 0 \\ 2, & x = 1 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that  $d_t$  is  $(l, r)t$ -derivation and  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $G$ , so that  $d_t$  is  $t$ -derivation in  $G$ .

### 3.2.1. Theorem 1

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra and  $d_t$  is the mapping of  $X$  to itself. If  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$ , then  $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Proof,

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra. Since  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$  and by axiom BP2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y)) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) * (x * d_t(y)) * (d_t(x) * y) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (d_t(x) * y) \end{aligned}$$

hence, it is proved that  $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The converse of Theorem 1 does hold, that is, if  $d_t(x * y) = d_t(x) * y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , by BP2 axiom we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= d_t(x) * y \\ d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) * (x * d_t(y)) * (d_t(x) * y) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (d_t(x) * y) \wedge (x * d_t(y)) \end{aligned}$$

thus, it is proved that  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation of  $X$ .

### 3.2.2. Theorem 2

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra and  $d_t$  is a mapping of  $X$  to itself. If  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$ , then  $d_t(x * y) = x * d_t(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

Proof,

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra. Since  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$ , then by axiom BP2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (d_t(x) * y) * ((d_t(x) * y) * (x * d_t(y))) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) \end{aligned}$$

hence, it is proved that  $d_t(x * y) = x * d_t(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

The converse of Theorem 2 does hold, that is, if  $d_t(x * y) = x * d_t(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , from the BP2 axiom is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} d_t(x * y) &= x * d_t(y) \\ d_t(x * y) &= d_t(x) * y * ((d_t(x) * y) * (x * d_t(y))) \\ d_t(x * y) &= (x * d_t(y)) \wedge (d_t(x) * y) \end{aligned}$$

thus, it is proved that  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$ .

**3.2.3. Proposition 1**

If  $(X, *, 0)$  is an associative BP-algebra, then  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$ .

Proof,

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be an associative BP-algebra, then for all  $x, y \in X$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned}d_t(x * y) &= (x * y) * t \\d_t(x * y) &= x * (y * t) \\d_t(x * y) &= x * d_t(y)\end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 2 it is proven that  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$ .

**3.2.4. Proposition 2**

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a BP-algebra satisfying  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$ .

Proof,

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra that satisfies  $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$  for all  $y, z \in X$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned}d_t(x * y) &= (x * y) * t \\d_t(x * y) &= (x * t) * y \\d_t(x * y) &= d_t(x) * y\end{aligned}$$

from Theorem 1 it is proven that  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$ .

**3.3. Definition 3**

A Mapping  $d_t$  of BP-algebra  $X$  is said to be  $t$ -regular if  $d_t(0) = 0$ .

**3.3.1. Theorem**

Let  $(X, *, 0)$  is a BP-algebra and  $d_t$  is a mapping of  $X$  to itself.

1. If  $d_t$  is a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$  and  $d_t$  is a  $t$ -regular, then  $d_t(x) = d_t(x) \wedge x$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
2. If  $d_t$  is a  $(r, l)t$ -derivation in  $X$  and  $d_t$  is  $t$ -regular, then  $d_t(x) = x \wedge d_t(x)$  for all  $x \in X$

Proof,

Let  $X$  be a BP-algebra.

1. Let  $d_t$  be a  $(l, r)t$ -derivation in  $X$ , since  $d_t$  is a  $t$ -regular and by Theorem (iii) in BP-Algebra subsection we get:

$$\begin{aligned}d_t(x) &= d_t(x * 0) \\d_t(x) &= (d_t(x) * 0) \wedge (x * d_t(0)) \\d_t(x) &= d_t(x) \wedge (x * d_t(0)) \\d_t(x) &= d_t(x) \wedge x * d_t(0) \\d_t(x) &= d_t(x) \wedge (x * 0) \\d_t(x) &= d_t(x) \wedge x\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

2. Let  $d_t$  be a  $(r, l)$ - $t$ -derivation in  $X$ , since  $d_t$  is a  $t$ -regular and by Theorem (iii) in BP-Algebra subsection we get:

$$\begin{aligned}d_t(x) &= d_t(x * 0) \\d_t(x) &= (x * d_t(x)) \wedge (d_t(x) * 0) \\d_t(x) &= (x * 0) \wedge (d_t(x)) \\d_t(x) &= x \wedge d_t(x)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

#### 4. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we define a  $(l, r)$ - $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra and investigate its properties. Then, given the definition of  $(r, l)$ - $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra and investigate its properties. In general, the properties  $(l, r)$  and  $(r, l)$ - $t$ -derivation in BP-algebra obtained for  $d_t$  which satisfies the  $t$ -regular properties.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Neggers, J. & Sik, K. (2002). On B-algebras. *Matematički vesnik*, **54**(1-2), 21–29.
- [2] Kim, H. S. & Park, H. G. (2005). On 0-commutative B-algebras. *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **62**(1).
- [3] Walendziak, A. (2005). A note on normal subalgebras in B-algebras. *Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae*, **62**(1).
- [4] Zhan, J. & Liu, Y. L. (2005). On f-derivations of BCI-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **2005**(11), 1675–1684.
- [5] Chandramouleeswaran, M. & Ganeshkumar, T. (2012). Derivations on TM-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, **3**(11), 3967–3974.
- [6] Ashraf, M., Ali, S., & Haetinger, C. (2006). On derivations in rings and their applications. *The Aligarh Bull of Math*, **25**(2), 79–107.
- [7] Aprijon, A. (2021). Annual premium of life in insurance with uniform assumptions. *Science, Technology and Communication Journal*, **1**(2), 67–73.
- [8] Jun, Y. B., Roh, E. H., & Kim, H. S. (2002). On fuzzy B-algebras. *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, **52**(2), 375–384.
- [9] Rahmawati, R., Rahma, A. N., & Septia, W. (2021). Prediction of rupiah exchange rate against Australian dollar using the Chen fuzzy time series method. *Science, Technology and Communication Journal*, **1**(2), 74–81.
- [10] Jun, Y. B. & Xin, X. L. (2004). On derivations of BCI-algebras. *Information Sciences*, **159**(3-4), 167–176.
- [11] Muhiuddin, G. & Al-Roqi, A. M. (2012). On-derivations in BCI-algebras. *Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society*, 2012.
- [12] Alshehri, N. (2010). Derivations of B-algebras. *Science*, **22**(1).
- [13] Al-Kadi, D. (2016).  $f$  $q$ -derivations of  $G$ -algebra. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, 1–5.
- [14] Kandaraj, N. & Devi, A. A. (2016).  $f$ -derivations on BP-algebras. *International Journal Of Scientific And Research Publications*, **6**(10), 8–18.
- [15] Khan, M. & Dawood Khan, K. M. A. (2021). Characterization of associative PU-algebras by the notion of derivations. *American Journal of Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, **6**(1), 14–18.
- [16] Ramadhona, C. & Gemawati, S. (2020). Generalized f-derivation of BP-algebras. *International Journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology-IJMTT*, **66**.
- [17] Zedam, L., Yettou, M., & Amroune, A. (2019). f-fixed points of isotone f-derivations on a lattice. *Discussiones Mathematicae-General Algebra and Applications*, **39**(1), 69–89.
- [18] Ganeshkumar, T. & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2013).  $t$ -derivations on TM-algebras. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, **85**(1), 95–107.

- [19] Ganeshkumar, T. & Chandramouleeswaran, M. (2013). Generalized derivation on TM-algebras. *Int. J. Algebra*, **7**, 251–258.
- [20] Raza, M. A., & Rehman, N. (2017). On generalized derivation in rings and Banach algebras. *Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics*, **41**(1), 105–120.
- [21] Raza, M. A. & Rehman, N. U. (2016). On prime and semiprime rings with generalized derivations and non-commutative Banach algebras. *Proceedings-Mathematical Sciences*, **126**, 389–398.
- [22] Rehman, N. U., Huang, S., & Raza, M. A. (2019). A note on derivations in rings and Banach algebras. *Algebraic Structures and Their Applications*, **6**(1), 115–125.
- [23] Rasool, S. & Somayeh, J. (2014). A Note on t-Derivations of B-Algebras, **10**, 138–143.
- [24] Chandramouleeswaran, M. & Kandaraj, N. (2011). Derivations on d-Algebras. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences and applications*, **1**(1), 231–237.
- [25] Ahn, S. S. & Han, J. S. (2013). On BP-Algebras. *Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, **42**(5), 551–557.